Effects of organic, inorganic and organo-mineral fertilizer on the growth, yield and nutrient composition of Corchorus Oligarious (L.)
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Abstract: Corchorus olitorious (L.) is an important leafy vegetable known for its rich source of several nutrients and dietary fibre in Africa, Asia and some parts of America. One major limitation of cultivating Corchorus olitorious in the tropics is inadequate soil fertility. Fertilizer application is an integral part of the vegetable cultivation due to low soil productivity. The study therefore aims at evaluating the effects of organic, inorganic and organo-mineral fertilizer at different concentrations on the growth, yield and nutrient composition of Corchorus olitorious. The experimental setup followed a complete randomized block design with three replicates. Treatments include control, 1,000 kg/ha sole poultry manure (PM), 2,000 kg/ha sole PM, 200 kg/ha sole NPK, 400 kg/ha sole NPK, 600 kg/ha sole NPK, 1,000 kg/ha PM+200 kg/ha NPK, 1,000 kg/ha PM+400 kg/ha NPK, 1,000 kg/ha PM+600 kg/ha NPK, 2,000 kg/ha PM+200 kg/ha NPK, 2,000 kg/ha PM+400 kg/ha NPK and 2,000 kg/ha PM+600 kg/ha NPK fertilizer combinations. The growth, yield and nutrient composition of C. oligarious plants were significantly enhanced in all fertilizer treated plots in comparison to the control. Significantly (p<0.05) higher growth parameters, yield and nutrient composition were recorded in the plots treated with combined application of both fertilizers at 2,000 kg/ha PM+400 kg/ha NPK and 2,000 kg/ha PM+600 kg/ha NPK when compared to other application combinations. This study affirms that the combined application of organic and inorganic fertilizer as a soil amendment could enhance growth, yield and nutrient composition of C. oligarious in comparison with sole application of either fertilizers.
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INTRODUCTION

Corchorus oligarious known commonly as ‘fruited jute’, ‘bush okra’ or ‘jute mallow’ is a common tropical leafy vegetable found in Africa, Asia and some parts of the Middle East and Latin America (Odofin et al., 2011). It has succulent leaves which soften rapidly with cooking and thickens into a viscous mucilaginous soup which can be eaten with starchy foods (Adeediran et al., 2015). On average, 100 g of edible leaves of Corchorus oligarious contains 85-87g water, 5-6 g protein, 0.7 g oil, 5 g carbohydrate, 1-5 g fibre, 250 – 266 Mg and Ca, 4-8 mg iron, 3000 iu vitamin A, 0.1 mg thiamine, 0.3 mg riboflavin, 1.5 mg nicotinamide and 53-100 mg ascorbic acid (Aluko et al., 2014). One of the major challenges of crop production in the tropics is inadequate soil fertility which results from soil erosion, denitrification, overgrazing, deforestation and other human activities (Adeediran et al., 2015). Deficiency or inadequate soil nutrients for proper plant nourishment is evident in poor yield and yield quality (Aluko et al., 2014). Attempts made by agriculturists to meet the demands of the ever-growing population by application of fertilizer to replace the lost nutrient in the soil (Adeediran et al., 2015). Inorganic fertilizers have been used to ameliorate the soil nutrient level (Adejoro, 2011; Law-Ogabomo and Remison, 2007; Masarirambi et al. 2011) owing to their rapidly release of nutrients to be taken up by plants and also due to their easy handling (Adeediran et al., 2015). However, inorganic fertilizers have several limitations including high purchase costs, scarcity, pollution of ground water and deterioration of soil physical properties by depleting the soil organic matter on account of continuous usage over time (Ghoneim and El-Araby, 2003). Several studies have confirmed the use of organic manure as a better alternative to chemical fertilizer (Abd El-Megeed et al., 2000; Mahmoud et al., 2000; Mohamed and Gabr, 2002). This is because it is cheap and affordable, eco-friendly and it had a profound effect on the activity of soil microflora as well as soil physico-chemical properties (Ghoneim and El-Araby, 2003). Organic fertilizers also have their limitations including high risk of infection, high cost of transportation and labour on account of its bulkiness as well as a slow release of nutrients for plant uptake (Adeediran et al., 2015). Studies have also confirmed that the combination of organic and inorganic fertilizer in a sustainable ratio as a strategy to combat low available soil nutrients in the tropics (Law-Ogabomo and Osaigbovo, 2016). However, information comparing the three fertilizer application forms in the cultivation of an important leafy vegetable like Corchorus oligarious is scanty (Ghoneim and El-Araby, 2003). The aim of this study is to evaluate the effect of organic, inorganic and organo-mineral fertilizer applications on the vegetative growth, productivity and nutrient constituents of Corchorus oligarious.

MATERIALS AND METHOD

Source of Materials

Seeds of Corchorus oligarious (‘oniyaya’ cultivar) were obtained from National Horticultural Research Institute (NIHORT), Ibadan, Nigeria. Barnyard manure was...
obtained from a local poultry establishment at Tanke Oke-Odo, Ilorin, Nigeria. Inorganic fertilizer (NPK 15:15:15) was procured from an Agro-chemical shop in Ilorin.

**Analysis of Soil and Organic Manure**

Air-dried and pulverized poultry manure as well as a composite soil sample (0-30 cm depth) taken from the site were both analysed for physio-chemical properties using standard laboratory procedures outlined by Mylavapu and Kennelley (2002). The soil particle size distribution was determined by Hydrometer method. Organic carbon content was determined by modified wet oxidation method of Walkley and Black (1945). The soil pH was measured with a pH meter (Oakton™ water proof pH 450 portable meter) in 1:1 soil-water ratio suspension. Total nitrogen was determined by a semi-micro Kjedahl digestion distillation method (Bermer and Mulvaley, 1982) while the available phosphorus was determined by L-ascorbic method (Bray and Kurtz, 1945). The total exchangeable acidity was assessed by method described by Maclean (1982), while the cation exchange capacity (CEC) was determined via ammonium saturation of exchange site and analysis of saturated ammonium using an ammonium ion-selective electrode. (Soil and Plant Analysis Council, 2000). Similarly, exchangeable K, Ca, Mg, Na were determined using the KCl method and the percentage base saturation was calculated as the sum of exchangeable bases divided by the cation exchange capacity and multiplied by 100.

**Experimental Design and Treatments**

The experimental layout followed a complete randomized block design with three replications per treatment. The dimension of the gross plot measured 10 m × 8 m, while that of the net plots is 2 m × 2 m. Each net plot was separated from each other by 0.5 m. Plant spacing on each of the net plots was 0.3 m ×0.3 m. Treatments include control, 1,000 kg ha⁻¹ sole poultry manure (PM), 2,000 kg ha⁻¹ sole PM, 200 kg ha⁻¹ sole NPK, 400 kg ha⁻¹ sole NPK, 600 kg ha⁻¹ sole NPK, 1,000 kg ha⁻¹ PM+200 kg ha⁻¹ NPK, 1,000 kg ha⁻¹ PM+400 kg ha⁻¹ NPK, 10,000 kg ha⁻¹ PM+600 kg ha⁻¹ NPK, 2,000 kg ha⁻¹ PM+200 kg/ ha NPK, 20,000 kg ha⁻¹ PM+400 kg ha⁻¹ NPK and 2,000 kg ha⁻¹ PM+600 kg ha⁻¹ NPK fertilizer combinations

The experimental plots were manually cleared and plant beds were prepared. The seeds dormancy of *Corchorus olitorius* L was broken by steeping in hot water between 80- 97°C for 5 seconds (Tolorunse et al., 2015) to ensure rapid and uniform germination of seeds. Thereafter, seeds were sown at 0.3 m × 0.3 m using drilling method to give room for hand-weeding operations. Plots were hand-weeded at intervals of 2 weeks throughout the study period. Harvesting was done at 8 weeks (56 days) after planting.

**Fertilizer Application**

Sole organic fertilizer (poultry manure) at the rates of 1,000 kg ha⁻¹ and 2,000 kg ha⁻¹ poultry manure were uniformly spread on the plots and lightly incorporated into the soil with the use of a hoe. Sole inorganic fertilizer (NPK 15:15:15) containing 1.10% sulphur, 0.02% boron, 0.05% copper, 0.1% iron, 0.05% manganese, 0.001% molybdenum and 0.05% zinc was also applied by ringing them around the plant at the rate of 200 kg ha⁻¹, 400 kg ha⁻¹ and 600 kg ha⁻¹ while organomineral fertilizer was applied at the rates of 1000 kg ha⁻¹ + 200 kg ha⁻¹, 1,000 kg ha⁻¹ + 400 kg ha⁻¹, 1,000 kg ha⁻¹ + 600 kg ha⁻¹, 2,000 kg ha⁻¹ + 200 kg ha⁻¹, 2,000 kg ha⁻¹ + 400 kg ha⁻¹ and 2,000 kg ha⁻¹ + 600 kg ha⁻¹ poultry manure and NPK 15:15:15 combinations, respectively.

**Data Collection**

The vegetative parameters such as plant height, stem girth, number of leaves and leaf area using CI-202 portable leaf area meter (CIDBio Science Inc) were recorded at 28, 42 and 56 days after planting. At harvest (56 days after planting), above-ground fresh and dry weight were determined. Yield components such as number of pods per plant, pod weight (fresh and dry) were also determined. Harvest was done at 56 days after planting by cutting at the soil level, and the fresh plants were weighed for total biomass using a Kern electronic weighing balance ABT 120-4M. The plant material obtained was oven dried at 80°C for 24 hours before taking the dry weight measurements.

**Proximate and Mineral Analysis**

The leaf samples were analysed for proximate composition (moisture, ash, crude fats, protein, crude fibre and carbohydrate) were determined according to the standard method described by AOAC (2010). Nitrogen content was deduced by the micro kjedal method (AOAC, 2010) while potassium and phosphorus contents were measured using an Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (PG 990) after digestion with nitric acid. All the samples were analysed in triplicate and the mean values were recorded.

**Data Analysis**

The data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) using Statistical Package of Social Science (SPSS version 20.0). Means were separated using Duncan multiple range test at 5% probability level.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Soil Parameters**

The soil is slightly alkaline (pH of 7.5) with 82.25, 10.09, and 7.66 % sand, silt and clay respectively with a textural class of sand (Table 1). The soil organic carbon, cation exchange capacity and base saturation are 1.22%, 2.95% and 7.66 % sand, silt and clay respectively with a textural class of sand (Table 1). The soil organic carbon, cation exchange capacity and base saturation are 1.22%, 2.95% and 7.66 % sand, silt and clay respectively with a textural class of sand (Table 1). The soil organic carbon, cation exchange capacity and base saturation are 1.22%, 2.95% and 7.66 % sand, silt and clay respectively with a textural class of sand (Table 1). The soil organic carbon, cation exchange capacity and base saturation are 1.22%, 2.95% and 7.66 % sand, silt and clay respectively with a textural class of sand (Table 1). The soil organic carbon, cation exchange capacity and base saturation are 1.22%, 2.95% and 7.66 % sand, silt and clay respectively with a textural class of sand (Table 1). The soil organic carbon, cation exchange capacity and base saturation are 1.22%, 2.95% and 7.66 % sand, silt and clay respectively with a textural class of sand (Table 1). The soil organic carbon, cation exchange capacity and base saturation are 1.22%, 2.95% and 7.66 % sand, silt and clay respectively with a textural class of sand (Table 1). The soil organic carbon, cation exchange capacity and base saturation are 1.22%, 2.95% and 7.66 % sand, silt and clay respectively with a textural class of sand (Table 1). The soil organic carbon, cation exchange capacity and base saturation are 1.22%, 2.95% and 7.66 % sand, silt and clay respectively with a textural class of sand (Table 1).
The organic manure contained organic carbon, organic matter, nitrogen, potassium, calcium and magnesium contents of 22.8%, 36.62 %, 1.37 %, 0.35 cmol/kg, 6.04 cmol/kg and 1.40 cmol/kg respectively (Table 2). The result of the organic manure analysis depicts a considerably higher nutrient status when compared to that of the field soil. This is an indication of the organic manure’s capability of improving the soil nutrient status if allowed to mineralize for the release of its nutrients (Law-Ogbomo and Osaigbovo, 2016). A similar result was obtained by Adediran et al. (2015) in a study on the effect of different nutrient sources on the growth and yield of Corchorus olitorious.

**Chemical Composition of Organic Fertilizer**

The organic manure contained organic carbon, organic matter, nitrogen, potassium, calcium and magnesium contents of 22.8%, 36.62 %, 1.37 %, 0.35 cmol/kg, 6.04 cmol/kg and 1.40 cmol/kg respectively (Table 2). The result of the organic manure analysis depicts a considerably higher nutrient status when compared to that of the field soil. This is an indication of the organic manure’s capability of improving the soil nutrient status if allowed to mineralize for the release of its nutrients (Law-Ogbomo and Osaigbovo, 2016). A similar result was obtained by Adediran et al. (2015) in a study on the effect of different nutrient sources on the growth and yield of Corchorus olitorious.

**Plant Growth Attributes**

All morphological growth characters of Corchorus olitorious plants considered were significantly affected by the fertilizer treatments at p<0.05 (Tables 3). Plant height, number of leaves, stem girth and leaf area were recorded in the control at all sampling stages (Table 3). Significantly the highest growth parameters obtained in plots treated with 2000 kg/ha poultry manure + 600kg/ha NPK compared to all other treatments considered could be due to the combined effect of both fertilizer forms as suggested by Ullah et al. (2008) in a study to evaluate the effects of organic and chemical fertilizers on the growth and yield of Solanum melongena. The combined application of poultry and inorganic fertilizers has been shown to integrate the attributes of sole organic and sole inorganic fertilizers (Okunola et al., 2011). Significantly higher growth parameters obtained in plots treated with sole NPK fertilizer in comparison to those treated with sole poultry manure during the initial stage of study could be attributed to the ready availability of nutrients in contrary to the slow release of nutrients through the decomposition of poultry manure as reported by Mogapi et al. (2013). Significantly higher growth parameters obtained in plots treated with sole NPK fertilizer in comparison to those treated with sole poultry manure during the initial stage of study could be attributed to the ready availability of nutrients in contrary to the slow release of nutrients through the decomposition of poultry manure as reported by Mogapi et al. (2013). Significantly higher growth parameters obtained in plots treated with sole NPK fertilizer in comparison to those treated with sole poultry manure during the initial stage of study could be attributed to the ready availability of nutrients in contrary to the slow release of nutrients through the decomposition of poultry manure as reported by Mogapi et al. (2013). Significantly higher growth parameters obtained in plots treated with sole NPK fertilizer in comparison to those treated with sole poultry manure during the initial stage of study could be attributed to the ready availability of nutrients in contrary to the slow release of nutrients through the decomposition of poultry manure as reported by Mogapi et al. (2013). Significantly higher growth parameters obtained in plots treated with sole NPK fertilizer in comparison to those treated with sole poultry manure during the initial stage of study could be attributed to the ready availability of nutrients in contrary to the slow release of nutrients through the decomposition of poultry manure as reported by Mogapi et al. (2013). Significantly higher growth parameters obtained in plots treated with sole NPK fertilizer in comparison to those treated with sole poultry manure during the initial stage of study could be attributed to the ready availability of nutrients in contrary to the slow release of nutrients through the decomposition of poultry manure as reported by Mogapi et al. (2013). Significantly higher growth parameters obtained in plots treated with sole NPK fertilizer in comparison to those treated with sole poultry manure during the initial stage of study could be attributed to the ready availability of nutrients in contrary to the slow release of nutrients through the decomposition of poultry manure as reported by Mogapi et al. (2013). Significantly higher growth parameters obtained in plots treated with sole NPK fertilizer in comparison to those treated with sole poultry manure during the initial stage of study could be attributed to the ready availability of nutrients in contrary to the slow release of nutrients through the decomposition of poultry manure as reported by Mogapi et al. (2013). Significantly higher growth parameters obtained in plots treated with sole NPK fertilizer in comparison to those treated with sole poultry manure during the initial stage of study could be attributed to the ready availability of nutrients in contrary to the slow release of nutrients through the decomposition of poultry manure as reported by Mogapi et al. (2013). Significantly higher growth parameters obtained in plots treated with sole NPK fertilizer in comparison to those treated with sole poultry manure during the initial stage of study could be attributed to the ready availability of nutrients in contrary to the slow release of nutrients through the decomposition of poultry manure as reported by Mogapi et al. (2013).
Significantly highest fresh and dry weight was recorded in plots treated with a combination of 20000 kg/ha poultry manure and 600 kg/ha NPK with values of 29.49 g and 7.95 g respectively when compared to all other treatments. This was followed by the various combined application of poultry and inorganic manure (1000 kg/ha organic fertilizer + 200 Kg/ha inorganic fertilizer, 1000 kg/ha organic fertilizer + 400 Kg/ha inorganic fertilizer, 1000 kg/ha organic fertilizer + 600 Kg/ha inorganic fertilizer, and 2000 kg/ha organic fertilizer + 200 Kg/ha inorganic fertilizer) all of which were significantly higher (p<0.05) compared to sole application of both fertilizers and the control. Fresh and dry biomass were significantly higher in sole organic manure treated plants when compared to the inorganic and the control while significantly lowest fresh and dry weight was recorded in the control plots (Table 4). Significantly higher fresh and dry biomass accumulation in the organomineral fertilizer treatments obtained in this study when compared to the sole application of either fertilizers and the control is an indication that organo-mineral fertilizer is a better alternative to the sole application of either fertilizers. The combinations of organic and mineral fertilizer have been reported to perform better on the yield of tomato, maize, and Solanum macrocarpon than sole application of either fertilizers.(Akanni et al., 2011; Ayeni, 2008; Ogunlade et al., 2011). . Significantly higher fresh and dry biomass in sole organic manure application compared to sole inorganic fertilizer could be due to better nutrient uptake in organic manure when compared to inorganic fertilizer (Mishra and Ganesh, 2005). Organic manure has been reported to improve plant nutrient uptake by acting as a buffering agent against undesirable pH fluctuations and by improving soil water availability through retention and aeration which ultimately contributes to better nutrients utilization by the crop (Adediran, 2015). This was evident in the higher fresh and dry matter accumulation of sole organic manure treated plot compared to sole inorganic manure treated plots and the control. The least fresh and dry weight of plants in the control plots is a reflection of the soil nutrients deficit as evident in the soil physicochemical properties. The result is in tandem with Aluko et al., (2014) in a study on the effect of organic and inorganic fertilizer on the growth and yield of Corchorus olitorious. The fresh and dry pod weight as well as pod number followed similar trend as the fresh and dry biomass (Table 5). This could be due to reasons adduced to fresh and dry biomass accumulation as previously mentioned. Similar results were obtained by Mogapi et al. (2013) in a study on the effect of chicken manure and commercial fertilizer on performance of Corchorus olitorious.

Proximate and Mineral Composition

Proximate composition as well as percentage nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium of Corchorus olitorious were significantly influenced by different types and rates of fertilizer application. Percentage ash content, crude protein, crude fat, fibre and nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium were significantly highest in plants treated with combination of both organic and inorganic fertilizer when compared to sole application of both fertilizer types alone and the control. It should be noted that the aforementioned nutrient attributes were significantly enhanced in plants treated with sole organic manure when compared with sole inorganic manure. Plants in the control plots showed the lowest values. Combined application of poultry manure and inorganic manure has been shown to integrate the beneficial attributes of both organic and inorganic fertilizers (Okunola et al., 2011). This is evident in the higher ash content, crude protein, crude fat and fibre as well as nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium uptake recorded in the combined application of both fertilizer as compared to either of the sole applications and the control. Significantly higher levels of the aforementioned nutrients in sole organic manure treated plant when compared to its sole inorganic fertilizer could be attributed to a better nutrient uptake in organic fertilizer plants than in inorganic fertilizer. These trends had earlier been reported by Mishra and Ganesh (2005) where they tested how different fertilizers affect the nutrient status of Abelmoschus esculentus.

CONCLUSION

The observed increase in growth, yield and nutrient composition of Corchorus olitorious plants treated with different combinations and rates of both organic and inorganic fertilizers over the control suggests that fertilizer application is highly necessary for a successful production of the plant. However, combined application of organic and inorganic fertilizers could be a better soil nutrient amendment when applied at a rate of 2000 kg/ha1 PM + 400 kg/ha3 NPK and 2000 kg/ha1 PM + 600 kg/ha3 NPK in the cultivation of Corchorus olitorious.
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Table 3: Growth parameters of fertilizer treated Corchorus olitorius plants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant Height (cm)</th>
<th>Number of Leaves</th>
<th>Stem Girth (cm)</th>
<th>Leaf Area (cm²)</th>
<th>Above-Ground Weight (g)</th>
<th>Pod Mass (g)</th>
<th>Pod Number/plant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24 DAP</td>
<td>42 DAP</td>
<td>56 DAP</td>
<td>24 DAP</td>
<td>42 DAP</td>
<td>56 DAP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₁</td>
<td>28.37⁺</td>
<td>55.53⁺</td>
<td>76.96⁺</td>
<td>19.00⁺</td>
<td>1.34⁺</td>
<td>2.37⁺</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₂</td>
<td>31.32⁻</td>
<td>58.02⁻</td>
<td>82.59⁻</td>
<td>20.99⁻</td>
<td>1.40⁻</td>
<td>2.41⁻</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₃</td>
<td>31.01⁻</td>
<td>56.82⁻</td>
<td>70.80⁻</td>
<td>22.11⁻</td>
<td>1.39⁻</td>
<td>2.47⁻</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₄</td>
<td>33.70⁻</td>
<td>57.88⁻</td>
<td>74.64⁻</td>
<td>24.22⁻</td>
<td>1.41⁻</td>
<td>2.41⁻</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₅</td>
<td>35.09⁻</td>
<td>59.50⁻</td>
<td>75.60⁻</td>
<td>27.22⁻</td>
<td>1.42⁻</td>
<td>2.44⁻</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₆</td>
<td>30.68⁻</td>
<td>54.59⁻</td>
<td>80.02⁻</td>
<td>22.11⁻</td>
<td>1.39⁻</td>
<td>2.40⁻</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₇</td>
<td>33.56⁻</td>
<td>58.26⁻</td>
<td>84.75⁻</td>
<td>25.78⁻</td>
<td>1.38⁻</td>
<td>2.38⁻</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₈</td>
<td>34.28⁻</td>
<td>59.97⁻</td>
<td>87.30⁻</td>
<td>26.89⁻</td>
<td>1.37⁻</td>
<td>2.38⁻</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₉</td>
<td>31.15⁻</td>
<td>53.86⁻</td>
<td>79.73⁻</td>
<td>23.89⁻</td>
<td>1.42⁻</td>
<td>2.42⁻</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₁₀</td>
<td>33.30⁻</td>
<td>54.78⁻</td>
<td>86.96⁻</td>
<td>26.22⁻</td>
<td>1.42⁻</td>
<td>2.42⁻</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₁₁</td>
<td>34.81⁻</td>
<td>59.48⁻</td>
<td>90.10⁻</td>
<td>27.44⁻</td>
<td>1.41⁻</td>
<td>2.41⁻</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>24.17⁻</td>
<td>39.27⁻</td>
<td>48.20⁻</td>
<td>15.27⁻</td>
<td>1.09⁻</td>
<td>1.66⁻</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values with the same superscripts are significantly the same at p<0.05, N=3; DAP=Days After Planting, T₁=1000 kg/ha + sole PM, T₂=2000 kg/ha + sole PM, T₃=200 kg/ha + sole NPK, T₄=600 kg/ha + sole NPK, T₅=1000 kg/ha + PM + 200 kg/ha + NPK, T₆=1000 kg/ha + PM + 400 kg/ha + NPK, T₇=1000 kg/ha + PM + 600 kg/ha + NPK, T₈=2000 kg/ha + PM + 200 kg/ha NPK, T₉=2000 kg/ha + PM + 400 kg/ha NPK, T₁₀=2000 kg/ha + PM + 600 kg/ha NPK.
Table 4: Nutrient composition of fertilizer treated Corchorus olitorius plants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>ASH</th>
<th>M/C</th>
<th>C/P</th>
<th>C/FB</th>
<th>C/FT</th>
<th>CHO</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage (%)</td>
<td>mg/100g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_1$</td>
<td>10.29&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10.58&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.29&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>33.10&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.87&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>42.89&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>205.60&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>92.40&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>82.45&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_2$</td>
<td>10.89&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10.75&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.44&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>36.17&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.93&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>38.83&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>229.60&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>99.79&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>89.79&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_3$</td>
<td>9.41&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11.12&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.01&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>31.60&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.65&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>45.23&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>160.10&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>79.31&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>72.52&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_4$</td>
<td>9.51&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11.21&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.11&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>31.89&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.62&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>44.68&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>176.80&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>87.39&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>78.68&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_5$</td>
<td>9.56&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11.44&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.30&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>32.95&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.60&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>43.15&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>208.00&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>89.98&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>80.92&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_6$</td>
<td>10.43&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10.81&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.32&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>33.37&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.66&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>42.43&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>209.60&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>93.59&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>91.56&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_7$</td>
<td>10.39&lt;sup&gt;g&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10.97&lt;sup&gt;g&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.35&lt;sup&gt;g&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>33.43&lt;sup&gt;g&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.73&lt;sup&gt;g&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>41.64&lt;sup&gt;g&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>215.20&lt;sup&gt;g&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>98.42&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>94.16&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_8$</td>
<td>10.26&lt;sup&gt;h&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11.15&lt;sup&gt;h&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.43&lt;sup&gt;h&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>33.54&lt;sup&gt;h&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.78&lt;sup&gt;h&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>41.85&lt;sup&gt;h&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>228.00&lt;sup&gt;h&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>99.03&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>99.73&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_9$</td>
<td>10.89&lt;sup&gt;i&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11.22&lt;sup&gt;i&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.41&lt;sup&gt;i&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>35.53&lt;sup&gt;i&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.70&lt;sup&gt;i&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>39.26&lt;sup&gt;i&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>225.60&lt;sup&gt;i&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>92.37&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>93.98&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{10}$</td>
<td>10.58&lt;sup&gt;j&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11.27&lt;sup&gt;j&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.48&lt;sup&gt;j&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>35.65&lt;sup&gt;j&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.83&lt;sup&gt;j&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>39.21&lt;sup&gt;j&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>236.80&lt;sup&gt;j&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>93.10&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>104.22&lt;sup&gt;j&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{11}$</td>
<td>10.54&lt;sup&gt;k&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11.33&lt;sup&gt;k&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.55&lt;sup&gt;k&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>35.01&lt;sup&gt;k&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.96&lt;sup&gt;k&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>39.64&lt;sup&gt;k&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>247.20&lt;sup&gt;k&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>105.56&lt;sup&gt;k&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>111.93&lt;sup&gt;k&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>7.35&lt;sup&gt;l&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10.11&lt;sup&gt;l&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.76&lt;sup&gt;l&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>29.60&lt;sup&gt;l&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.45&lt;sup&gt;l&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>50.74&lt;sup&gt;l&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>121.80&lt;sup&gt;l&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>64.58&lt;sup&gt;l&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>57.02&lt;sup&gt;l&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values with the same superscripts are significantly the same at $p<0.05$, N=3; DAP=Days After Planting $T_1$=1000 kg/ha<sup>-1</sup> sole PM, $T_2$=2000 kg/ha<sup>-1</sup> sole PM, $T_3$=200 kg/ha<sup>-1</sup> sole NPK, $T_4$=400 kg/ha<sup>-1</sup> sole NPK, $T_5$=600 kg/ha<sup>-1</sup> sole NPK, $T_6$=1000 kg/ha<sup>-1</sup> PM + 200 kg/ha<sup>-1</sup> NPK, $T_7$=1000 kg/ha<sup>-1</sup> PM + 400 kg/ha<sup>-1</sup> NPK, $T_8$=1000 kg/ha<sup>-1</sup> PM + 600 kg/ha<sup>-1</sup> NPK, $T_9$=2000 kg/ha<sup>-1</sup> PM + 200 kg/ha NPK, $T_{10}$=2000 kg/ha<sup>-1</sup> PM + 400 kg/ha<sup>-1</sup> NPK $T_{11}$=2000 kg/ha<sup>-1</sup> PM + 600 kg/ha<sup>-1</sup> NPK.